UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/733,813	12/12/2003	Eric Le Bars	4590-253	8845
33308 7590 06/25/2008 LOWE HAUPTMAN & BERNER, LLP			EXAM	IINER
1700 DIAGON.	AL ROAD, SUITE 300		FINDLEY, CHRISTOPHER G	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/733,813	LE BARS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CHRISTOPHER FINDLEY	2621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tird d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 in 25 in 2</u>	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11. 	ccepted or b) objected to by the education of the learning of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/733,813 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. The Applicant has cancelled claims 19 and 20, thus rendering the Examiner's previous claim objections and 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection moot.

2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 6-8, filed 2/25/2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-8 under Teichmer (US 6380991 B1) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Teichmer (US 6380991 B1) in view of Takahashi (US 5912709 A).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teichmer (US 6380991 B1) in view of Takahashi (US 5912709 A).

Re **claim 1**, Teichmer discloses a method of splicing digital signals comprising at least two types of data packets: I and P packets of complete data and B packets of differential data, said method comprising the following steps: receiving a first digital signal s1 (Teichmer: Fig. 2, stream 1); receiving a second digital signal s2 (Teichmer:

Application/Control Number: 10/733,813

Art Unit: 2621

Fig. 2, stream 2); receiving a splicing command Cc(T.sub.0) (Teichmer: Fig. 2, "GOP boundary" labeled on stream 1 indicates the start of a splicing operation); transmitting the first signal s1 before the splicing indicated by the splicing command Cc(T.sub.0) (Teichmer: Fig. 2, the portion of the Freeze Splice stream before the (previous GOP extended) section).

Page 3

Teichmer discloses that transmission of the second signal s2 starts with the I packet of complete data closest following the instant To indicated by the splicing command Cc(To) in such a way that the reproduction of the second signal s2 starts with the reproduction of the I packet of complete data, but Teichmer does not explicitly disclose that transmission of the second signal s2 may start with the I or P packet of complete data closest to (either before or after) the instant To indicated by the splicing command Cc(To). However, Takahashi discloses a method and apparatus for editing or mixing compressed pictures, wherein upon selection of an editing point, the portion of the final edited stream taken from the second input stream may begin with a frame that has been derived from the first P frame following the editing point (Takahashi: Figs. 4(a)-4(c) and column 6, lines 9-21, the P frame right after the editing point is recoded as an I frame; Figs. 5(a)-5(d) and column 6, line 64, through column 7, line 12, the P frame right after the editing point is recoded as an I frame) or the portion of the final edited stream taken from the second input stream may begin with a frame that has been derived from the first I or P frame preceding the editing point (Takahashi: Figs. 6(a)-6(c) and column 7, lines 27-43; Figs. 7(a)-7(c) and column 7, lines 27-43). Since both Teichmer and Takahashi relate to transitioning from one input video stream to another

input video stream, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to combine the frame recoding of Takahashi with the splicing technique of Teichmer in order to control the quantization width so as to prevent buffer overflow or underflow and minimize picture quality deterioration due to re-coding (Takahashi:

Page 4

column 7, lines 43-51). The combined system of Teichmer and Takahashi has all of the features of claim 1.

Re **claim 2**, the combined system of Teichmer and Takahashi discloses that transmission of the first signal s1 ends with transmission of the last I, P or B packet of data received before the start of transmission of the second signal s2 in such a way that the reproduction of the first signal s1 ends with the reproduction of an I or P packet of complete data before the start of reproduction of the second signal s2 (Teichmer: Fig. 2, Freeze Stream (previous GOP extended)).

Re **claim 3**, the combined system of Teichmer and Takahashi discloses that transmission of the I or P complete data packets before the B differential data packets is configured in such a way that the reproduction of these I or P packets of complete data is performed after the reproduction of the B packets of differential data (Teichmer: Fig. 2, B frames use bi-directional prediction and the transport order is different from the presentation order).

Claim 4 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 3 above.

Re **claim 5**, the combined system of Teichmer and Takahashi discloses that transmission of the first signal s1 ends with transmission of the last B packet of

Art Unit: 2621

differential data received before the start of transmission of the second signal s2 and preceding an I or P packet of complete data (Teichmer: Fig. 2, frame B₈₄ is extended through the black period).

Re **claim 6**, the combined system of Teichmer and Takahashi discloses that the first and second signals s1 and s2 comprise several types of complete data packets, including at least one I packet of introductory complete data and at least one P packet of predicted complete data, and several B packets of differential data are assembled in a group of packets GOP comprising only one I packet of complete introductory data with which the GOP starts, the group of packets enabling the P packets of predicted complete data and the B packets of differential data to be transmitted in an order different from that of the reproduction of the P and B packets (Teichmer: Fig. 2).

Re claim 7, the combined system of Teichmer and Takahashi discloses that transmission of the second signal s2 starts with the I packet of introductory complete data closest following the instant To indicated by the splicing command Cc(To) in such a way that the reproduction of the second signal s2 starts with the reproduction of the I packet of complete data, but Teichmer does not explicitly disclose that transmission of the second signal s2 may start with the closest I packet of complete data preceding the instant To indicated by the splicing command Cc(To). However, Takahashi discloses a method and apparatus for editing or mixing compressed pictures, wherein upon selection of an editing point, the portion of the final edited stream taken from the second input stream may begin with a frame that has been derived from the first I frame preceding the editing point (Takahashi: Figs. 6(a)-6(c) and column 7, lines 27-43).

Art Unit: 2621

Since both Teichmer and Takahashi relate to transitioning from one input video stream to another input video stream, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to combine the frame recoding of Takahashi with the splicing technique of Teichmer in order to control the quantization width so as to prevent buffer overflow or underflow and minimize picture quality deterioration due to re-coding (Takahashi: column 7, lines 43-51).

Re **claim 8**, the combined system of Teichmer and Takahashi discloses that the first signal s1 and the second signal s2 are video signals (Teichmer: Abstract section, lines 1-6).

4. Claims 9-15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teichmer (US 6380991 B1) in view of Takahashi (US 5912709 A) as applied to claims 1-8 above, and further in view of Fox et al. (US 6181383 B1).

Re claim 9, the combined system of Teichmer and Takahashi discloses a majority of the features of claim 9, as discussed above in claim 1, but does not explicitly disclose that the first signal s1 and the second signal s2 further comprise audio frames. However, Fox discloses a method for preserving synchronization of audio and video presentation when splicing transport streams, where the transport stream includes both video and audio data (Fox: Fig. 2). Since Teichmer, Takahashi, and Fox relate to splicing media streams, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to combine the frame splicing of the combined system of Teichmer and Takahashi with the audio/video synchronization of Fox in order to fill the

video gap with repeated frames when the audio component of the first stream extends beyond the end of the video component when splicing with a second stream (Fox: Fig. 4, gap 440). The combined system of Teichmer, Takahashi, and Fox has all of the features of claim 9.

Claim 10 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 9 above.

Re **claim 11**, the combined system of Teichmer, Takahashi, and Fox discloses that transmission of the second signal s2 starts with the audio frame configured to be reproduced with a picture constituted by the I packet of introductory complete data with which transmission of the second signal s2 is started (Fox: Fig. 2, access unit 224₁).

Re **claim 12**, the combined system of Teichmer, Takahashi, and Fox discloses that transmission of the first signal s1 ends with: the last audio frame starting before the instant of the start of transmission of the second signal s2 if the time interval between the start of transmission of the audio frame and the start of transmission of the second signal s2 is greater than or equal to the duration of an audio frame (Fox: Fig. 3, access unit 314₄), or, if not, the second last audio frame starting before the instant of the start of transmission of the second signal s2 (Fox: Fig. 2, access unit 214₃).

Re **claim 13**, the combined system of Teichmer, Takahashi, and Fox discloses during transmission of the first signal s1, transmission of the drift Al of the clock h1 of the first signal s1 (Fox: column 5, line 64, through column 6, line 3), and during transmission of the second signal s2, transmission of the drift .DELTA.2 of the clock h2 of the second signal s2 (Fox: column 6, lines 31-41).

Application/Control Number: 10/733,813

Art Unit: 2621

Claim 14 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 13 above.

Page 8

Re **claim 15**, the combined system of Teichmer, Takahashi, and Fox discloses that the digital signals are MPEG-encoded (Teichmer: Abstract section, lines 1-6), comprising groups of packets constituted by groups of pictures (GOP), the packets of complete data constituted by the I and P pictures, the packets of differential data constituted by the B pictures (Teichmer: Fig. 2), and audio frames (Fox: Fig. 2).

Re **claim 17**, the combined system of Teichmer, Takahashi, and Fox discloses a first input adapted to receive a first signal s1 (Fox: Fig. 1, element 110, multiple inputs), a second input adapted to receive a second signal s2 (Fox: Fig. 1, element 110, multiple inputs), an output adapted to transmit a resulting signal formed by the first signal s1 before the splicing indicated by the splicing command Cc(T.sub.0) and the second signal s2 after the splicing indicated by the splicing command Cc(T.sub.0) (Fox: Fig. 1, element 140).

5. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teichmer (US 6380991 B1) in view of Takahashi (US 5912709 A) as applied to claims 1-8 above, and further in view of Kelly et al. (US 6952521 B2).

Re **claim 16**, the combined system of Teichmer and Takahashi discloses a majority of the features of claim 16, as discussed above in claim 1, but does not specifically disclose watermarking of the splicing command Cc(T.sub.0) in the first signal s1, wherein reception of the splicing command comprises reading the splicing command Cc(T.sub.0) watermarked in the first signal s1*. However, Kelly discloses a

Application/Control Number: 10/733,813

Art Unit: 2621

method for editing digital video recordings, wherein splice point information is embedded in the transport stream (Kelly: column 3, lines 11-20) and the splice point information is processed by a microprocessor (Kelly: Fig. 2; column 7, line 62, through column 8, line 4). Since Teichmer, Takahashi, and Kelly relate to splicing media streams, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to combine Kelly's preconfigured media stream stored on a disc with the method for splicing media streams of the combined system of Teichmer and Takahashi in order to provide a constant picture display (Teichmer: Fig. 2, repeated B frames) when splicing streams during the execution of a predetermined program sequence (Kelly: column 1, lines 53-60). The combined method of Teichmer, Takahashi, and Kelly has all of the features of claim 16.

Page 9

6. Claims 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teichmer (US 6380991 B1), Takahashi (US 5912709 A), and Fox et al. (US 6181383 B1) as applied to claims 9-15 and 17 above, and further in view of Kelly et al. (US 6952521 B2).

Re **claim 18**, the combined system of Teichmer, Takahashi, and Fox discloses a majority of the features of claim 18, as discussed above in claim 17, but does not specifically disclose a watermark reader connected to the first input. However, Kelly discloses that the embedded splicing point information is read and processed by a microprocessor (Kelly: Fig. 2, uP 114 and input unit 130; column 7, line 62, through column 8, line 4). Since Teichmer, Takahashi, Fox, and Kelly all relate to splicing media

Application/Control Number: 10/733,813 Page 10

Art Unit: 2621

streams, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to combine the splicing methods of the combined system of Teichmer,

Takahashi, and Fox with the embedded splicing point information and disc storage of Kelly in order to execute a predetermined program switching sequence (Kelly: column 1, lines 53-60). The combined method of Teichmer, Fox, and Kelly has all of the features

of claim 18.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

a. System method and apparatus for seamlessly splicing data

Yoshinari (US 6567471 B1)

Method and apparatus for splicing

Anderson et al. (US 7027516 B2)

c. Information processing apparatus

Komori (US 20030123556 A1)

d. Signal processor

Saunders et al. (US 6529555 B1)

Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER FINDLEY whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 2621

(571)270-1199. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday,

8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on 571-272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher Findley/

/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621